Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 344

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Bennetta v. Derby (Order)	903
Costanzo v. Plainfield	86
Action against defendant town and defendant town employees to recover damages	
for drowning in pool on private property; allegations that defendants issued	
building permit for pool prior to inspecting it to ensure that safety features	
required by state building code were installed; certification from Appellate Court;	
whether trial court's orders sustaining plaintiff's objections to defendants' appor-	
tionment complaint and notice of intent to seek apportionment constituted final	
judgment permitting interlocutory appellate review; whether Appellate Court	
correctly concluded that trial court had improperly sustained plaintiff's objections	
to defendants' apportionment complaint and notice of intent to seek apportion-	
ment; whether plaintiff's allegations fell within first exception to municipal	
immunity in statute (§ 52-557n (b) (8)) that subjects municipality to liability	
for injuries that occur as result of failure to inspect or inadequate or negligent	
inspection of property to determine whether property complies with or violates	
any law or contains health or safety hazard when municipality had notice of	
such violation of law or such hazard; whether plaintiff's allegations fell within	
purview of statute (§ 52-572h (o)) permitting liability to be apportioned among	
parties liable for negligence in any cause of action created by statute based	
on negligence.	
On negrigence. Daley v. Kashmanian	464
Negligence; governmental immunity; certification from Appellate Court; claim that	404
Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that common law and statute (§ 52-557n)	
conferred governmental immunity from liability for damages arising from per-	
sonal injuries caused by police officer's negligent operation of motor vehicle while	
performing surveillance; whether trial court properly granted defendants' motion	
to set aside verdict in connection with plaintiff's negligence claim; whether opera-	
tion of unmarked police vehicle, including following statutory rules of road, was	
ministerial function; whether legislature intended negligence in operation of	
motor vehicle to be shielded by governmental immunity.	005
Diaz v. Commissioner of Correction	365
65Habeas corpus; denial of habeas petition; denial of petition for certification to	
appeal from habeas court's judgment; certification from Appellate Court; circum-	
stances under which criminal conviction may be reversed on basis of attorney's	
conflicted loyalties, discussed; claim that it is per se conflict of interest for	
individual to simultaneously serve as Connecticut police officer and represent	
criminal defendant, even if alleged crimes were committed, investigated, and	
$prosecuted\ outside\ of\ city\ or\ town\ in\ which\ officer\ serves; whether\ statute\ (\S\ 54-1f$	
$(b)) \ requiring \ police \ of ficers \ to \ arrest \ all \ suspected \ felons \ under \ all \ circumstances,$	
regardless of when or where suspected crime was committed, created per se	
conflict of interest for police officer representing criminal defendant; whether	
habeas court abused its discretion in denying certification to appeal; claim that	
defense attorney's undisclosed status as police officer became actual conflict of	
interest during petitioner's criminal trial insofar as it led attorney to hold back	
when cross-examining other police officers.	
Garcia v. Cohen	84
Negligence; premises liability; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial	
court had committed reversible error by failing to instruct jury on nondelegable	
duty doctrine; appeal dismissed on ground that certification was improvi-	
dently granted.	
In re Madison C. (Order)	903
International Investors v. Town Plan & Zoning Commission	46
Zoning; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court incorrectly con-	
cluded that defendant plan and zoning commission was authorized by statute	
(§ 8-2 (a)) to condition approval of special permit on completion of development	

within specified time period and that special permit approval expired two years after its effective date because construction had not yet been completed; whether commission lacked authority to condition continuing validity of special permit on completion of development within specified period of time that conflicted with time limitation prescribed by statute (§ 8-3) for satisfying the same condition.	
Pistello-Jones v. Jones (Order)	901
Sakon v. Sakonchick (Order)	901
State v. Bowden	266
Manslaughter first degree with firearm, felony murder; robbery first degree; carrying pistol without permit; stealing firearm; criminal possession of pistol or revolver; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress evidence from search of his cell phone in violation of fourth amendment to United States constitution; whether application for warrant authorizing search lacked particular description of things to be seized; whether affidavit supporting application failed to establish probable cause; whether any error in trial court's failure to suppress evidence obtained pursuant to warrant was harmless.	
State v. Council	113
Murder; criminal possession of firearm; whether trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to present defense by precluding testimony of certain expert witness; whether trial court improperly excluded testimony of expert witness because witness was qualified as expert under rules of evidence; whether appeal was moot when defendant failed to challenge all independent bases for trial court's adverse ruling.	
State v. Davis	122
Murder; claim that trial court incorrectly concluded that defendant had failed to establish that defense counsel was burdened by actual conflict of interest that adversely affected her performance; whether defense counsel's prior representation of victim's son created actual conflict of interest, whether trial court's finding of facts, including that defense counsel's brief representation of victim's son had no effect on course of trial, were clearly erroneous; whether counsel's prior representation of relative of victim in criminal case creates per se conflict of interest; claim that trial court improperly admitted into evidence testimony from three lay witnesses identifying defendant in surveillance video footage; whether, under rule established in State v. Gore (343 Conn. 129), trial court abused its discretion in admitting challenged testimony; whether it was proper for this court to apply rule established in Gore retroactively to present case.	122
	500
State v. Freeman. Robbery first degree; plea of nolo contendere; motion to dismiss; claim that prosecution was barred by applicable five year statute of limitations ((Rev. to 2017) § 54-193 (b)); certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that there was sufficient evidence to establish that state had made reasonable efforts to serve arrest warrant before statute of limitations expired and that delay in service of warrant was reasonable; whether prosecutor's representations of fact to trial court constituted evidence that could serve to satisfy state's obligation to prove reasonableness of efforts to execute warrant.	503
State v. Herman K. (Order)	902
State v. Hinds	541
Murder; carrying dangerous weapon; prosecutorial impropriety; claim that defendant was deprived of his due process right to fair trial as result of prosecutorial impropriety during prosecutor's closing and rebuttal arguments; whether prosecutor improperly referred to facts not in evidence and vouched for witness' credibility during closing argument in stating that jury could infer that witness' prior statements to police, which were not before jury, were consistent with his trial testimony; whether prosecutor improperly diluted state's burden of proof by referring to principle of Occam's razor during rebuttal argument; whether alleged improprieties deprived defendant of fair trial.	202
State v. Juan F	33
Sexual assault first degree; risk of injury to child; whether trial court improperly denied defendant's pretrial motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute within five year limitation period set forth in applicable statute of limitations ((Rev. to 2001) § 54-193a); whether trial court's finding that defendant was not available for arrest between issuance and execution of arrest warrant was not clearly erroneous	

State v. Juan J	1
injury to child; claim that trial court had abused its discretion in admitting evidence of defendant's uncharged misconduct in connection with allegations of sexual abuse; unpreserved claim by state that judgment of conviction could be	
affirmed on alternative ground that uncharged misconduct evidence was admissible to show propensity under applicable provision (§ 4-5 (b)) of Connecticut Code of Evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting uncharged misconduct evidence under applicable provision (§ 4-5 (c)) of Connecticut Code	
of Evidence to show intent and absence of mistake or accident on part of defendant; whether admission of uncharged misconduct evidence was harmful.	
State v. Patterson	281
claim that prejudicial effect of uncharged misconduct evidence outweighed its probative value; claim that uncharged misconduct evidence was cumulative.	
State v . Peluso	404
Sexual assault first degree; sexual assault fourth degree; risk of injury to child; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court did not abuse its discretion in granting state permission to file amended information after start of trial; claim that trial court improperly found	
that good cause existed for state's late amendment to information after start of trial; whether good cause existed for late amendment of information after start	
of trial when state became aware between two and four weeks before trial began that information inaccurately listed years of alleged incidents of sexual abuse;	
whether defendant was prejudiced by state's late amendment to information. State v. Qavvum	302
Conspiracy to sell narcotics; possession of narcotics with intent to sell; certification from Appellate Court; reviewability of defendant's claim that Appellate Court	302
incorrectly concluded that trial court had not abused its discretion in permitting expert testimony from police detective on issue of whether defendant intended	
to sell narcotics; whether trial court's admission of testimony regarding defend- ant's lack of reportable wages, even if improper, was harmful.	
State v. Rogers	343
Murder; conspiracy to commit murder; assault first degree; certification from Appel- late Court; whether this court should exercise its supervisory authority over	010
administration of justice to reverse defendant's conviction despite defendant's failure to preserve objection to state's untimely disclosure of expert witness when	
defendant's codefendant successfully had his conviction reversed in light of that untimely disclosure; whether defendant and codefendant were similarly situated or similarly harmed by state's untimely disclosure of expert witness; claim that	
this court should review merits of defendant's unpreserved claim that trial court improperly had failed to conduct hearing pursuant to State v. Porter (241 Conn.	
57) prior to allowing witness to testify regarding certain cell site location infor- mation; whether defendant was relieved of obligation to preserve his claim regard-	
ing Porter hearing in light of this court's decision in State v. Edwards (325 Conn. 97), which was released after defendant's trial but during pendency of his appeal,	
that Porter hearing is required prior to admission of evidence concerning cell site location information and in light of this court's subsequent determination	
that rule announced in Edwards applied retroactively. State v. Samuolis	200
Murder; assault first degree; attempt to commit assault first degree; claim that trial	
court improperly denied defendant's motion to suppress certain evidence seized by police officers as result of their warrantless entry into his home; whether officers' warrantless entry into defendant's home was justified under emergency	
exception to warrant requirement of fourth amendment to United States constitu- tion; whether, under totality of circumstances, it was reasonably objective for	
officers to conclude that there was emergency justifying their initial entry into defendant's home; applicability of emergency exception in light of United States	
Supreme Court's decision in Caniglia v. Strom (141 S. Ct. 1596), discussed.	
State v. Schimanski	435
Operating motor vehicle with suspended license; conditional plea of nolo contendere; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court improperly upheld	
trial court's denial of defendant's motion to dismiss charge of operating motor	

229
902
150
321